IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 17131 of 2004

Between:

Cheduri Satya Srinivas S/o.Lakshmana Rao
Sub Division, Bobbili Division, Vizianagarm (Circle), R/o.LIG 29, Muralidhar Nagar, Ramanayyapeta
Kakinada, East GodavariDistrict.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Chairman/Managing Director Vidyuth Soudha, Khairatabad, Hyderabad.
2 The Chairman/Managing Director A.P.E.P.D.C.L.

Saraswathi Junction (A.P.Electricity Power Distributor Co.Ltd).,

Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.
3 Superintending Engineer Operation Circle A.P.E.P.D.C.L
Koppugurranna Building, Vizianagarm Town and District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or order or direction more in the nature of writ of mandamus declare the action of the Respondents in not appointing the Petitioner as Junior Line Man as per the Scheme which is a suitable post for the Petitioner earmarked for contract labourers as being arbitrary, illegal, unconstitutional and contrary to their own scheme and consequently direct the respondents to consider his case and appoint him to the post of Junior Line man and pass.

Counsel for the Petitioner:B.VEERAIAH

Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.S.RAVINDRANATH

The Court at the stage of admission made the following **ORDER** :

The petitioner claims that he is working as a contract labourer. He attended to an interview conducted by the respondents on 23-06-1998 for the post of Junior Line Man, but he was not selected. He studied 10th class. As per the Board proceedings in Ms.No.36 and 37 dated 18-05-1997 and directions of this Court, the respondent conducted interviews for the post of Junior Lineman. The petitioner, therefore, approached this Court seeking to direct the respondents to consider his case for the post of Junior Lineman in the existing vacancies.

The learned Counsel for the respondent represented that the petitioner did not furnish any particulars regarding his experience as a contract labourer etc., therefore, the question of considering the request of the petitioner for appointment as a Junior Line Man does not arise.

Having regard to the above circumstances, the respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner as and when there is a proposal for filling up the vacancies of the Junior Line Man, on merits according to the existing rules.

The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

Dr. G. Yethirajulu, J.

Dt:4th October, 2004.

Rns.

To

1 The Chairman/Managing Director Vidyuth Soudha,

Khairatabad, Hyderabad.

2 The Chairman/Managing Director A.P.E.P.D.C.L.

Saraswathi Junction (A.P.Electricity Power Distributor Co.Ltd).,

Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

3 Superintending Engineer Operation Circle A.P.E.P.D.C.L
Koppugurranna Building, Vizianagarm Town and District.

4. Two CD copies.